Miura transforms for discrete Painleve equations

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.
1992 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 25 L633
(http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/25/11/002)
View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:
IP Address: 171.66.16.58
The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 16:32

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

# Miura transforms for discrete Painlevé equations 

A Ramani $\dagger$ and B Grammaticos $\ddagger$<br>$\dagger$ Centre de Physique Théorique, Ecole Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau, France<br>$\ddagger$ Laboratoire de Physique Nucleaire, Université Paris VII, Tour 24-14, $5^{\circ}$ étage, 75251<br>Paris, France

Received 19 February 1992


#### Abstract

A Miura transformation is presented relating the discrete Painlevé II equation to what plays the role of the modified $d-P_{11}$ (equation (34) in the Painlevé-Gambier classification). The study of this transformation makes possible the derivation of the auto-Bäcklund transform of the discrete $P_{11}$ and allows one to obtain particular solutions to the latter. Moreover the use of this transformation makes it possible to construct a new mapping, in the parameter space of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}}$. The latter is a novel method for the construction of integrabie discrete systems.


The Miura transform has been introduced for this paradigm of the nonlinear evolution equation, the KdV equation [1]. Starting from the equation for $u: u_{t}+6 u u_{x}+u_{x x x}=0$ and transforming to a new dependent variable $u=v_{x}-v^{2}$ we obtain the modified KdV equation: $v_{t}-6 v^{2} v_{x}+v_{x x x}=0$. The Miura transform (MT) is just a special kind of Bäcklund transform relating the solutions of two PDEs: once $u$ is known one can obtain $v$ through the solution of a Riccati equation. In a 'singularity analysis' [2] spirit MT transforms the double pole of the KdV to a simple pole for the mKdV. Miura transforms are known between quite a few pairs of nonlinear equations (although not all of them are identified by the label 'modified' in front of one of them).

Continuous Painlevé equations share several common properties with nonlinear pDEs [3]. This is by no means astonishing since all the Painlevé equations can be obtained as similarity reductions of some appropriate PDE [4]. These equations were discovered at the turn of the century when Painlevé and his school [5] classified all the equations of the form $w^{\prime \prime}=F\left(w^{\prime}, w, z\right)$ where $F$ is polynomial in $w^{\prime}$, rational in $w$ and analytic in $z$ that are free from movable critical points, what we call in modern terminology the Painlevé property. Gambier provided the final classification of the Painlevé equations [5]. He obtained 50 different equations (within a Möbius transform of the dependent variable and a change of the independent one). He showed, further, that there are 24 equations of which 18 can be reduced to a quadrature or a linear ODE, while the remaining 6 are irreducible and define the Painlevé transcendents. The rest of the 50 equations can be deduced from the 24 'basic' ones, as shown by Gambier, through transformations that are nothing else but Bäcklund transformations. Moreover, the six basic Painlevé transcendents possess Bäcklund and auto-Bäcklund transformations [6], that make possible to relate the transcendents for various values of their parameters.

While the B't of Painlevé equations have been extensively studied, little is known about the possibility of existence of Miura transformations. Still one case exists where
such a relation is known since the genesis of the Painlevé equations. It can be shown in a straightforward way that starting from the equation for $P_{11}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime \prime}=2 v^{3}+z v-(\alpha+1 / 2) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and introducing the transformation [7]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha u=v^{\prime}+v^{2}+z / 2 \tag{2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

complemented by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{u^{\prime}+1}{2 u} \tag{2b}
\end{equation*}
$$

one can obtain the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}=\frac{u^{\prime 2}}{2 u}+2 \alpha u^{2}-z u-\frac{1}{2 u} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This last equation carries the number 34 in Gambier's classification. In this letter we shall refer to it as P34 or modified $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}$. It is interesting to note at this point that the 'half'-Miura transform ( $1,2 a$ ), used by Gambier for the integration of P34, breaks down at $\alpha=0$. Still, the full Miura transform $(2 a, b)$ can be used for integration even when $\alpha=0$. In that case ( $2 a$ ), with les equal to zero, is just a Riccati, solved through $v=\boldsymbol{A}^{\prime} / \boldsymbol{A}$ where $\boldsymbol{A}$ is any solution of the Airy equation $\boldsymbol{A}^{\prime \prime}+(z / 2) \boldsymbol{A}=0$. Then (2b) is a non-homogeneous linear equation for $u$ whose solution is just $u=-A^{2} \int^{z} \mathrm{~d} z / A^{2}$. In fact, the analysis of this equation is given, in a more general setting, within equation (27) of Gambier [5] while it is absent from Ince's book [7].

Discrete Painlevé equations have been discovered only recently. The first two, d-P ${ }_{1}$ $[8,9]$ and d- $P_{11}[10]$, have appeared in physical applications, while the remaining three were obtained through the use of the new tool of singularity confinement [11] (that plays the role of the Painlevé method [2] for discrete systems). One can ask the natural question whether a Miura relation similar to (2) exists for the discrete $P_{11}$ 's. We will show below that this is indeed the case.

The discrete $P_{I}$ equation has the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}+y_{n-1}=\frac{y_{n}(a n+b)+c}{y_{n}^{2}-1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, c$ are constants. Its continuous limit, obtained by $y=\varepsilon v, a n+b=-2-\varepsilon^{2} z$, $c=\varepsilon^{3}(\alpha+1 / 2)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, is just (1). At this point one can naturally ask what is the form of the discrete P34 or modified d- $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}}$. In [12] we have explained that the form of the d-P's can be suggested by the Quispel [13] mappings:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{3}\left(x_{n}\right) x_{n-1} x_{n+1}-f_{2}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(x_{n-1}+x_{n+1}\right)+f_{1}\left(x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $f_{i}$ are in general quartic polynomials. Indeed, introducing the lattice parameter $\varepsilon$ we write:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{n}=x \\
& x_{n-1} x_{n+1}=x^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\left(x x^{\prime \prime}-x^{\prime 2}\right)+\mathscr{O}\left(\varepsilon^{4}\right)  \tag{6}\\
& x_{n-1}+x_{n+1}=2 x+\varepsilon^{2} x^{\prime \prime}+\mathscr{O}\left(\varepsilon^{4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and thus if we aim at a specific Painlevé equation we must choose the $f_{i}$ 's so as to obtain the correct ratio between $x x^{\prime \prime}$ and $x^{\prime 2}$. Since modified d- $P_{11}$ belongs to the same type as $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{iv}}$ its form should be [12]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{n-1}+x_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}+x_{n+1}\right)=\frac{a_{n} x_{n}^{2}+m_{n}^{2}}{\left(x_{n}+z_{n}\right)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The precise form of the $a_{n}, z_{n}, m_{n}$ can be obtained using the singularity confinement approach [11]. In fact, there are only two ways for the variable $x$ of mapping (7) to diverge: either $x_{n+1}+x_{n}$ or $x_{n}+z_{n}$ vanish. The consequences of the first singularity can be easily assessed. For $x_{n+1}+x_{n}$ to vanish we must have $a_{n} x_{n}^{2}+m_{n}^{2}=0$. Iterating the mapping once we obtain as a condition for $x_{n+1}+x_{n+2}$ to be finite the relation $a_{n+1} x_{n+1}^{2}+$ $m_{n+1}^{2}=0$. This tells us that $a_{n+1} / a_{n}=m_{n+1}^{2} / m_{n}^{2}$ i.e. the ratio $a / m^{2}$ is constant. For the second type of singularity the analysis is implemented most simply if we start with $x_{n}+z_{n}=\lambda \varepsilon$ with $\lambda$ normalized so as to lead to $x_{n+1}=1 / \varepsilon$. Iterating, we find that $x_{n+2}$ diverges as $1 / \varepsilon$ and that the conditions for $x_{n+3}$ to be finite read $a_{n+1}=a_{n+2}$, i.e. $a_{n}$ is a constant (and thus $m_{n}$ is also a constant), and $z_{n+1}+z_{n+2}=z_{n}+z_{n+3}$. The solution to this last equation is just $z_{n}=\alpha n+\beta+\gamma(-1)^{n}$. This gives the form of P 34 in agreement with the results of [14].

The continuous limit of (7) can be found in a straightforward way. Putting $x=\varepsilon^{2} u$, $z=z_{0}+\varepsilon^{2} t, a=4 z_{a}, m^{2}=\varepsilon^{6} \mu$ we find: $u^{\prime \prime}-u^{\prime 2} /(2 u)+2 u^{2} / z_{0}+2 t u / z_{0}-\mu /\left(2 u z_{0}\right)=0$ i.e. precisely P34. Moreover, we can perform the coalescence limit of d-P34 by taking: $x_{n}=-z_{n}+\delta y_{n}, z_{n}=-a / 2+\delta c / 2-\delta^{2} \eta_{n} / a, m^{2}=-a(a-\delta c)^{2} / 4$ leading to (at $\left.\delta \rightarrow 0\right)$ : $x_{n-1}+x_{n}+x_{n+1}=c+\eta_{n} / x_{n}$, i.e. d-P $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}$.

We come now to the question of the existence of a Miura transformation relating $\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}}$ and d-P34. In the continuous case the solution of P34 was obtained through the solution of $P_{11}$ via a Riccati equation. The discrete equivalent of the Riccati has been given by Hirota [15] (see also [16]): it is just a first-order homographic mapping. With this remark as a guide it is straightforward to find the MT of d-P ${ }_{11}$. Starting from P34 (notice the choice of normalization):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{n-1}+x_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}+x_{n+1}\right)=\frac{-4 x_{n}^{2}+m^{2}}{\lambda x_{n}+z_{n}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we introduce the Miura transform:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda x_{n}=\left(y_{n}-1\right)\left(y_{n+1}+1\right)-z_{n} \tag{9a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its complement:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=\frac{m+x_{n-1}-x_{n}}{x_{n}+x_{n-1}} \tag{9b}
\end{equation*}
$$

and find

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}+y_{n-1}=\frac{y_{n}\left(z_{n-1}+z_{n}\right)+\lambda m+\left(z_{n}-z_{n-1}\right)}{y_{n}^{2}-1} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, the Miura transformation is best represented as the mapping:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n+1}=\frac{\lambda x_{n}+1+z_{n}-y_{n}}{y_{n}-1}  \tag{11a}\\
& x_{n+1}=\frac{m+\left(1-y_{n+1}\right) x_{n}}{y_{n+1}+1} \tag{11b}
\end{align*}
$$

where, elimination of the $x(\operatorname{resp} y)$ variable results to d- $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}}$ (resp d-P34). As we have seen in the introduction the integration of the $\alpha=0$ case is related to the Airy function solutions of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}}$. The same applies here. In fact, taking $\lambda=0$, the first half of the Miura ( $9 a$ ) decouples. It is straightforward to show that if $y_{n}$ is a solution of the first-order mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y_{n}-1\right)\left(y_{n+1}+1\right)=z_{n} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $y_{n}$ also satisfies $d-P_{\text {II }}$ (equation (10)) for $\lambda=0$. Equation (12) can be linearized following the techniques of [16]. Rewriting (12) as $y_{n+1}=\left(z_{n}+1-y_{n}\right) / y_{n}-1$ and putting $y_{n}=B_{n} / A_{n}$ we linearize (12) to:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{n+1}=-B_{n}+A_{n} \\
& B_{n+1}=-\left(z_{n}+1\right) A_{n}+B_{n} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n+2}-2 A_{n+1}+z_{n} A_{n}=0 . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The latter is a discrete analogue of the Airy equation and once its solution is obtained we can construct $y_{n}$ through $y_{n}=\left(A_{n}-A_{n+1}\right) / A_{n}$. The second half of the mT (11b) can then be used to find $x_{n}$ through the solution of a linear mapping in close parallel to the continuous case. Having dealt with the $\lambda=0$, in what follows we will assume $\lambda=1$.

As in the case of the continuous Painleve equations the existence of a Miura relation is rich in consequences. Since P34 contains only $m^{2}$ choosing the plus or minus sign for $m$ in ( $9 b$ ) would lead to solutions of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}}$ with $\pm m$ respectively. Defining $\psi_{n}=$ $-y_{n}(-m)$ we find that, while $y_{n}$ is a solution of $P_{11}$ with the constant term ( $c$ in equation (4)) equal to $m+\left(z_{n}-z_{n-1}\right), \psi_{n}$ corresponds to $m-\left(z_{n}-z_{n-1}\right)$. (Recall: if $z_{n}=\alpha n+\beta$, then $z_{n}-z_{n-1}=\alpha$.) We have thus (eliminating $x_{n}$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{n}=-y_{n}+\frac{2 m}{y_{n}\left(y_{n+1}+y_{n-1}\right)-y_{n+1}+y_{n-1}-\left(z_{n}+z_{n-1}+2\right)}  \tag{15a}\\
& y_{n}=-\psi_{n}+\frac{2 m}{\psi_{n}\left(\psi_{n+1}+\psi_{n-1}\right)+\psi_{n+1}-\psi_{n-1}-\left(z_{n}+z_{n-1}+2\right)} . \tag{15b}
\end{align*}
$$

From equation (15) we can construct easily the auto-Bäcklund transformation for d- $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}}$. Using d- $\mathrm{P}_{11}$ to eliminate $y_{n-1}\left(\psi_{n-1}\right)$ from the RHS of (15) we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n}+\psi_{n} & =\frac{m\left(1-y_{n}\right)}{\left(y_{n+1}+1\right)\left(y_{n}-1\right)-z_{n}-m / 2}  \tag{16a}\\
y_{n}+\psi_{n} & =\frac{m\left(1+\psi_{n}\right)}{\left(\psi_{n+1}-1\right)\left(\psi_{n}+1\right)-z_{n}+m / 2} . \tag{16b}
\end{align*}
$$

System (16) is indeed the auto-Bäcklund [6] since eliminating either of the two variables gives $d-P_{11}$ for the other. One interesting application of this transform is to generate particular solutions for d-P $P_{11}$. Indeed, just as rational solutions of $P_{11}$ are known for integer values of the parameter ( $\alpha+1 / 2$ ) in (1), rational solutions also exist for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}}$. Starting from (10) with $m=z_{n-1}-z_{n}=-\alpha$, we remark that $y_{n}=0$ is a solution. Injecting this 'seed' solution into ( $16 a$ ) we obtain $\psi_{n}$, that is the solution for $m=-3 \alpha$, as $\psi_{n}=2 \alpha /\left(z_{n-1}+z_{n}+2\right)$. The iteration of the Bäcklund allows the construction of a rational solution for all the values of $m= \pm(2 k+1) \alpha$. Similarly one can construct 'Airy'-type solutions for all values of $m= \pm 2 k \alpha$ starting from the 'Airy' solution (14).

Next, we shift equation (16b) one step further in $m$ and introduce $\chi_{n} \equiv y_{n}(m+2 \alpha)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{n}+y_{n}=\frac{(m+2 \alpha)\left(1+y_{n}\right)}{\left(y_{n+1}-1\right)\left(y_{n}+1\right)-z_{n}+m / 2+\alpha} . \tag{16c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\psi_{n}, y_{n}$ and $\chi_{n}$ define a mapping $Y$ in the variable $m$ since they correspond to the values of $m-2 \alpha, m$ and $m+2 \alpha$ respectively. Eliminating $y_{n+1}$ between (16a) and (16c) we obtain this mapping explicitly:

$$
Y_{m+2 \alpha}=\frac{\begin{array}{c}
Y_{m-2 \alpha}\left(2 Y_{m}^{3}+Y_{m}^{2} \alpha-Y_{m}(2 z-\alpha+2)-2 \alpha-m\right)+2 Y_{m}^{4} \\
+Y_{m}^{3}(\alpha+m)-Y_{m}^{2}(2 z-\alpha+2)-2 Y_{m}(\alpha+m) \tag{17}
\end{array}}{Y_{m-2 \alpha}\left(-2 Y_{m}^{2}+Y_{m}(\alpha+m)+(2 z-\alpha+2)\right)} .
$$

Notice that at the autonomous limit ( $\alpha=0$ ) this mapping is of the Quispel type. Its continuous limit can be found through: $Y=k+\varepsilon^{2} u, m=-4 k^{3}-k t \varepsilon^{4}, \alpha=-k \varepsilon^{5} / 2$, with $k^{2}=(z+1) / 3$ resulting to: $k\left(1-k^{2}\right) u^{\prime \prime}=6 u^{2}+1$, i.e. $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}$.

This is a most interesting result since it allows us to establish a close parallel with the situation for continuous systems. Not only does the Miura transform between d- $\mathrm{P}_{1 I}$ and d-P34 exist, but it can be used to obtain the auto-Bäcklund transform for d-P $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}}$. This, in turn, can be used to generate particular solutions to d- $\bar{P}_{\mathrm{II}}$. Moreover, the auto-Bäcklund can be exploited in order to derive a new discrete equation, in the parameter space of d- $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}}$, just as the auto-Bäcklund of the continuous $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}}$ leads to a discrete $P_{I}$ equation. We have thus a new algorithm to be added to the arsenal of methods for producing integrable discrete equations [14], namely the use of discrete Bäcklund or Miura transforms. This makes the problem of finding the auto-Bäcklund relations of all the known discrete Painlevé equations eveñ more interesting.

The authors are grateful to A Fokas, V Papageorgiou and F Nijhoff for stimulating discussions and exchange of correspondence.

## References

[1] Miura R M 1968 J. Math. Phys. 91202
[2] Ablowitz M J, Ramani A and Segur H 1978 Lett. Nuovo Cimento 23333 Ramani A, Grammaticos B and Bountis A 1989 Phys. Rep. 180159
[3] Newell A C 1985 Solitons in Mathematics and Physics (Philadelphia, PA: SIAM)
[4] Ablowitz M I and Segur H 1981 Solitons and the Inverse Scattering Transform (Philadelphia, PA: SIAM)
[5] Painlevé P 1902 Acta Math. 251 Gambier B 1910 Acta Math. 331
[6] Fokas A and Ablowitz M J 1982 J. Math. Phys. 232033
[7] Ince E L 1956 Ordinary Differential Equations (New York: Dover)
[8] Brezin E and Kazakov V 1990 Phys. Lett. 236B 144
[9] Its A R, Kitaev A V and Fokas A S 1990 Usp. Math. Nauk 45 6, 135
[10] Nijhoff F W and Papageorgiou V 1991 Phys. Lett. 153A 337
[11] Grammaticos B, Ramani A and Papageorgiou V 1991 Phys. Rev. Lett. 671825
[12] Ramani A, Grammaticos B and Hietarinta J 1991 Phys. Rev. Lett. 671829
[13] Quispel G R W, Roberts J A G and Thompson C J 1988 Phys. Lett. 126A 419; 1989 Physica 34D 183
[14] Fokas A, Grammaticos B and Ramani A From continuous to discrete Painlevé equations Preprint
[15] Hirota R 1979 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 46312
[16] Ramani A, Grammaticos B and Karra G 1992 Physica 180A 115

